

Needham, Chapter 7, Exercise 4, p. 370.

G. Palmer, April 13, 2017, 9:20 pm PST

5 As in the text, let ξ_a , η_a , ϕ_a denote the two perpendicular expansion factors and the rotation angle used to describe the local linear transformation at a produced by a mapping. By considering the case of a rotation by $(\pi/4)$, for which J is constant, show that ξ and η are generally not the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of the Jacobian J . However, confirm for this example that $\det(J) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \xi\eta$.

Rotation matrix for $\pi/4$:

$$[R^{\pi/4}] = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \pi/4 & -\sin \pi/4 \\ \sin \pi/4 & \cos \pi/4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The expansion factors can be written as columns of a 2 x 2 matrix.

$$[M] = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_a & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_a \end{pmatrix}$$

Write the Jacobian.

$$J = [R^{\pi/4}] \cdot [M] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi_a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{-\eta_a}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{\xi_a}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\eta_a}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_a & -\eta_a \\ \xi_a & \eta_a \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Write the characteristic equation.

$$\det[J - \lambda I] = 0$$

$$\det \left[\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2} \xi_a}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2} \eta_a}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2} \xi_a}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2} \eta_a}{2} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \xi_a}{2} - \lambda \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \eta_a}{2} - \lambda \right) + \frac{\xi_a \eta_a}{2} = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2} \xi_a}{2} \lambda - \frac{\sqrt{2} \eta_a}{2} \lambda + \xi_a \eta_a = 0$$

In order for the roots to be equal to ξ_a and η_a , the characteristic equation would have to factor into

$\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \xi_a}{2} - \lambda \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \eta_a}{2} - \lambda \right)$. This CE has the extra term $\frac{\xi_a \eta_a}{2}$. Therefore, ξ and η are generally not the eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_2 of the Jacobian J .

By Viète's formulas en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quadratic_equation, the product of the roots of the quadratic is c/a.

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = c/a = \xi_a \eta_a$$

This is what we wanted, but it is not clear that this is a determinant. We are to confirm for this example that $\det(J) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \xi \eta$.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2} \xi_a}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2} \eta_a}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2} \xi_a}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2} \eta_a}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \xi_a \eta_a$$

So now we have $\det[J] = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \xi_a \eta_a$, which is what we wanted.

Note that $\det[J]$ is not the same as the characteristic equation. Also note that we could have treated $[M]$ as the Jacobian. The determinant of $[M]$ represents the area of a parallelogram with sides ξ_a and η_a . Rotation of the vector matrix by $\pi/4$ does not change the length of the sides or the angle between the vectors. Therefore, the area of the parallelogram and the determinant should remain constant. The determinant of $[M]$ is $\xi_a \eta_a$ and its characteristic equation is $(\xi_a - \lambda)(\eta_a - \lambda) = 0$, which gives $c/a = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \xi_a \eta_a$.

It makes one wonder why rotating $[M]$ produces a different characteristic equation. Is there a contradiction here? Should the rotation be omitted from the calculation of the characteristic equation and the eigenvalues?