

27. Use (44), p. 170 to verify (53), p. 180.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{elliptic:} & \quad \text{iff } (a+d) \text{ is real and } |a+d| < 2; \\
 \text{parabolic:} & \quad \text{iff } (a+d) = \pm 2; \\
 \text{hyperbolic:} & \quad \text{iff } (a+d) \text{ is real and } |a+d| > 2; \\
 \text{loxodromic:} & \quad \text{iff } (a+d) \text{ is complex}
 \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{If we define } \Phi = 2 \cos^{-1} |a|, \text{ then } M_a^\Phi \text{ is} \\
 & (i) \text{ elliptic if } |\phi| < \Phi, \\
 & (ii) \text{ parabolic if } |\phi| = \Phi \\
 & (iii) \text{ hyperbolic if } |\phi| > \Phi
 \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Verifications

I struggled with this exercise for days, trying to find a link between the algebra of the trace (**a+d**) and the algebra of the most general Möbius automorphism of the unit disc $M_\alpha^\phi(z) = e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{z-\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}z-1} \right)$. (Notice that the α in $M_\alpha^\phi(z)$ is not the same variable as the **a** in the trace). Along the way I also spent time wondering whether the three cases---elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic---could all be expressed with $M_\alpha^\phi(z)$. As it happens, they can. I consulted the Wikipedia page on Möbius transformations and found scant help there. I finally threw in the towel and consulted Vasco's published answer at <https://sites.google.com/site/vascoprat/needham>. It was immediately evident that I had neglected the factor $e^{i\theta}$, which plays the key part in linking the trace to $M_\alpha^\phi(z)$. The exponential factor leads to a sine function, and another sine function is induced from the cosine function in ϕ , completing the link in an equation with two sines. (List (53) uses capital Φ , which in the Mathematica Greek font is hardly distinguishable from lower case ϕ , so here curly ϕ is substituted for capital Φ .)

Normalize $M_\alpha^\phi(z) = e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{z-\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}z-1} \right)$. The text does not specifically state that $M_\alpha^\phi(z)$ is normalized, but the list of criteria using the trace on p. 170 assumes normalization, so the coefficients of $M_\alpha^\phi(z)$ have to be normalized before the criteria can be applied. Multiply through by $e^{i\phi}$ and normalize, multiplying the coefficients by $1/\sqrt{\mathbf{ad}-\mathbf{bc}}$, where **a**, **b**, **c**, and **d** are the coefficients of the general Möbius transformation interpreted in the context of $\frac{z-\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}z-1}$. All four coefficients are non-zero and $(\mathbf{ad}-\mathbf{bc}) \neq 0$. Let $A = |\alpha|^2$.

$$e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{z-\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}z-1} \right) = \left(\frac{e^{i\phi} z - e^{i\phi} \alpha}{\bar{\alpha} z - 1} \right)$$

Then, $\mathbf{a} = e^{i\phi}$, $\mathbf{b} = e^{i\phi} \alpha$, $\mathbf{c} = \bar{\alpha}$, $\mathbf{d} = -1$, $(\mathbf{ad}-\mathbf{bc}) = -e^{i\phi} - (-e^{i\phi} \alpha \bar{\alpha}) = e^{i\phi} (A - 1)$.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{ad-bc}} = 1 / \left(e^{i\phi/2} \sqrt{A-1} \right)$$

Now the new normalized coefficients **a** and **d** are $\mathbf{a} = e^{i\phi/2} / \sqrt{A-1}$, $\mathbf{d} = -e^{-i\phi/2} / \sqrt{A-1}$.

Find the trace: $(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) = e^{i\phi/2} / \sqrt{A-1} - e^{-i\phi/2} / \sqrt{A-1} = 2i \sin(\phi/2) / i \sqrt{1-A} = 2 \sin(\phi/2) / \sqrt{1-A}$

$$|2 \sin(\phi/2)| / \sqrt{1-A} < 2 \implies |\sin(\phi/2)| / \sqrt{1-A} \quad (1)$$

From (53),

$$\varphi = 2 \cos^{-1} |\alpha|$$

$$\varphi/2 = \cos^{-1} |\alpha|$$

$$|\alpha| = \cos(\varphi/2) \implies A = \cos^2(\varphi/2)$$

(i) *The elliptic case:*

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) \text{ real and } |\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{d}| < 2$$

$$|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}| = \left| 2 \sin(\phi/2) / \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(\varphi/2)} \right| \quad [\text{By substitution for A in (1)}]$$

$$= \left| 2 \sin(\phi/2) / \sqrt{\sin^2(\varphi/2)} \right| = |2 \sin(\phi/2)| / |\sin(\varphi/2)| \quad (2)$$

$$|2 \sin(\phi/2)| / |\sin(\varphi/2)| < 2$$

$$\implies |\sin(\phi/2)| < |\sin(\varphi/2)|$$

$\varphi/2$ varies on $-\pi/2 \leq \varphi/2 \leq \pi/2$, because it's cosine is the positive real number, $|\alpha|$, which entails that $0 \leq |\sin(\varphi/2)| \leq 1$.

Since the elliptic case involves a complete rotation on the invariant circles, ϕ varies on the domain $-2\pi \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, while $\phi/2$ varies on $-\pi \leq \phi/2 \leq \pi$, which entails that $0 \leq |\sin(\phi/2)| \leq 1$. Hence $|\sin(\phi/2)|$ and $|\sin(\varphi/2)|$ both vary on the range $[0..1]$. Then $|\sin(\phi/2)| < |\sin(\varphi/2)|$ entails that (44) validates (53), that $|\phi| < \varphi$.

(ii) *The parabolic case:* Here, the parabolic case is not the archetypal form described on p. 153, but a subcategory of $M_\alpha^\phi(z) = e^{i\phi} \left(\frac{z-\alpha}{\alpha z-1} \right)$. It transforms parallel lines on $\mathbb{C}$ to invariant circles with a common

tangent at ∞ on the Riemann sphere (p. 153). ∞ is the only fixed point.

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) = 2 \sin(\phi/2) / \sin(\varphi/2) = \pm 2$$

$$= \sin(\phi/2) / \sin(\varphi/2) = \pm 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sin(\phi/2) = \pm \sin(\varphi/2)$$

Since $-\pi \leq \phi/2 \leq \pi$, $0 \leq |\sin(\phi/2)| \leq 1$. The angle $\varphi/2$ has the same domain $-\pi/2 \leq \varphi/2 \leq \pi/2$ as in the elliptic case, which entails that $-1 \leq \sin(\varphi/2) \leq 1$. Then we can write

$$|\sin(\phi/2)| = |\sin(\varphi/2)|$$

Then $(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) = \pm 2$ in (44) validates $|\phi| = \varphi$ in (53).

(iii) *The hyperbolic case:* A hyperbolic invariant curve is a line through the origin. A hyperbolic Möbius transformation induces expansion and contraction of $\mathbb{C}$. The archetypal hyperbolic Möbius transformation can be written $z \mapsto \rho z$. On the Riemann sphere, *The invariant curves are the great circles through the fixed points at the poles* (p. 152).

The proof proceeds exactly as in the elliptic case with only a switch in the direction of the inequality.

$$(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}) \text{ real and } |\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}| > 2$$

$$|\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{d}| = |2 \sin(\phi/2)| / |\sin(\varphi/2)| \quad (\text{From (2)})$$

$$|2 \sin(\phi/2)| / |\sin(\varphi/2)| > 2$$

$$\Rightarrow |\sin(\phi/2)| > |\sin(\varphi/2)|$$

$\varphi/2$ varies on $-\pi/2 \leq \varphi/2 \leq \pi/2$, because it's cosine is the positive real number, $|\alpha|$, which entails that $0 \leq |\sin(\varphi/2)| \leq 1$.

ϕ varies on the domain $-\pi \leq \phi \leq \pi$, while $\phi/2$ varies on $-\pi/2 \leq \phi/2 \leq \pi/2$, which entails that $0 \leq |\sin(\phi/2)| \leq 1$. Hence $|\sin(\phi/2)|$ and $|\sin(\varphi/2)|$ both vary on the range $[0..1]$. Then $|\sin(\phi/2)| > |\sin(\varphi/2)|$ entails that (44) validates (53), that $|\phi| > \varphi$.