

Notes on Needham, Visual Complex Analysis, Chapter 12, pp. 515...

G. Palmer, December 23, 2018, 3:15 pm PST

P. 513. For example, if $\Phi = \cos[\cos x \sinh y] e^{\sin x \cosh y}$ then [exercise]

$$\overline{\nabla \Phi}(x, y) = \cos[\cos x \sinh y] e^{\sin x \cosh y} \cos x \cosh y + F,$$

where F stands for three terms which vanish when $y = 0$. Using (1) we get $\Omega'(z) = e^{\sin z} \cos z$, and hence $\Psi = \text{Im } e^{\sin z}$.

Find $\overline{\nabla \Phi}(x, y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \Phi(x, y) &= \nabla (\cos[\cos x \sinh y] e^{\sin x \cosh y}) \\ &= e^{\sin x \cosh y} \partial_x \cos[\cos x \sinh y] + \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \partial_x e^{\sin x \cosh y} \\ &\quad + i (e^{\sin x \cosh y} \partial_y \cos[\cos x \sinh y] + \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \partial_y e^{\sin x \cosh y}) \\ &= -e^{\sin x \cosh y} \sin[\cos x \sinh y] \partial_x [\cos x \sinh y] + \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \cos x \cosh y e^{\sin x \cosh y} \\ &\quad + i (-e^{\sin x \cosh y} \sin[\cos x \sinh y] \partial_y [\cos x \sinh y] + \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \sin x \sinh y e^{\sin x \cosh y}) \\ &= e^{\sin x \cosh y} \sin[\cos x \sinh y] \sin x \sinh y + \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \cos x \cosh y e^{\sin x \cosh y} \\ &\quad + i (-e^{\sin x \cosh y} \sin[\cos x \sinh y] \cos x \cosh y + \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \sin x \sinh y e^{\sin x \cosh y}) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\nabla \Phi}(x, y) &= e^{\sin x \cosh y} \sin[\cos x \sinh y] \sin x \sinh y + \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \cos x \cosh y e^{\sin x \cosh y} \\ &\quad + i (e^{\sin x \cosh y} \sin[\cos x \sinh y] \cos x \cosh y - \cos[\cos x \sinh y] \sin x \sinh y e^{\sin x \cosh y}) \\ &= \cos[\cos x \sinh y] e^{\sin x \cosh y} \cos x \cosh y + F \quad (\text{Where } F = \text{1st, 3rd and 4th terms}) \end{aligned}$$

The terms constituting F go to zero, so this is what we wanted.

When $y = 0$, $\sin y = 0$, $\sinh y = 0$, $\cos y = 1$, $\cosh y = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\nabla \Phi}(x, y) &= \cos[0] \cos x \cdot 1 \cdot e^{(\sin x) \cdot 1} \\ &= \cos x e^{\sin x} \end{aligned}$$

Substitute z for x .

$$\Omega'(z) = e^{\sin z} \cos z$$

$$\Psi = \operatorname{Im} \int_z \Omega'(z) dz = \operatorname{Im} e^{\sin z}$$

P. 515, 2. Conformal Invariance of the Laplacian

We are trying to prove (4):

$$\Delta\Phi(z) = [\Delta\tilde{\Phi}(w)] |f'(z)|^2 \quad (4)$$

We note that $\Delta\Phi$ is the Laplacian $\nabla \cdot \nabla\Phi$, which is here apparently $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}$. ($\nabla\Phi$ is normally defined as $\overline{H}$, the *Pólya* field, as in (28), p. 500. Judging from the discussion in *I. Conformal Invariance and Harmony*, pp. 513-514, Φ is the real part of an *analytic* function Ω .) Needham wants to "rephrase the result in terms of flux densities." The "rephrase" or rewrite is

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}(z) = [\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(w)] |f'(z)|^2 \quad (5)$$

In ¶ 3, the givens and algebra lead to

$$\tilde{\Phi}(w) = \Phi(z) = \frac{1}{4} S |z|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{S}{|c|^2} |w|^2 \quad ((1))$$

Needham concluded that "The field $\tilde{\mathbf{V}} = \nabla\tilde{\phi}$ therefore has uniform flux density $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{V}} = S/|c|^2$ ".

Where does this equation come from? Calculate $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V}$ to check Needham's value. Then calculate $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(w)$.

$$\Phi(z) = (S/4) |z|^2$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \nabla\Phi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \end{pmatrix} [(S/4)(x^2 + y^2)]$$

$$= (S/4) \begin{pmatrix} 2x \\ 2y \end{pmatrix} = (S/2) \mathbf{z}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \end{pmatrix} \cdot (S/4) \begin{pmatrix} 2x \\ 2y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (S/4)(2 + 2) = S$$

Vasco simplifies the calculation of the Laplacian using $\partial_u^2 |z|^2 = 2 = \partial_u^2 |z|^2$. Then

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = (S/4)(\partial_x^2 |z|^2 + \partial_y^2 |z|^2) = (S/4) 4 = S$$

We calculate $\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{V}}(w)$. Let $w = u + iv$.

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{S}{|c|^2} |\mathbf{w}|^2$$

$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{V}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{S}{|c|^2} 4 = \frac{S}{|c|^2}$$

(Δ is the same for $|\mathbf{w}|^2$ as for $|z|^2$)

For our own interest, we calculate $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{V}} = \nabla \tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{w}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_u \\ \partial_v \end{pmatrix} \left[\frac{S}{4|c|^2} (u^2 + v^2) \right] \\ &= \frac{S}{4|c|^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2u \\ 2v \end{pmatrix} = \frac{S}{2|c|^2} \mathbf{w} = \frac{Sc}{2|c|^2} \mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$$

Return to (5), calculate $|f'(z)|^2$, and substitute the calculated flux densities.

$$|f'(z)| = |(cz)'| = |c|$$

$$S = (S/|c|^2) |f'(z)|^2$$

$$= (S/|c|^2) |c|^2 = S$$

This proves (5), which is a rewrite of (4), so it also proves (4) for this case.