

Needham, Chapter 12, Exercise 11, p. 571
G. Palmer, February 25, 2019, 10 am PST

11 With the same notation as in the previous question, let p measure distance in the direction $i\hat{\xi}$ perpendicular to the curve. By substituting $\mathcal{K} = -i\nabla\Psi$ into (30), p. 239, show that the image curvature is given by the tidy formula,

$$\tilde{\kappa} = \partial_p \Psi + \kappa \Psi.$$

By the same notation, $\Psi = 1/|f'|$. Now p in the direction $i\hat{\xi}$ perpendicular to the curve is measured along the radius of curvature.

$$\tilde{\kappa} = \mathcal{K} \cdot \hat{\xi} + \frac{\kappa}{|f'|} \quad (30)$$

Rewrite (30) at a point α .*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\kappa}(p) &= (-i \nabla \Psi(\alpha)) \cdot \hat{\xi}(\alpha) + \kappa(\alpha)\Psi(\alpha) \quad \text{by substitutions} \\ &= \nabla \Psi(\alpha) \cdot i\hat{\xi}(\alpha) + \kappa(\alpha)\Psi(\alpha) \quad \text{by Hint, Ex. 10 (iii)} \end{aligned}$$

The term $\nabla \Psi(\alpha) \cdot i\hat{\xi}(\alpha)$ represents the vector $\nabla \Psi$ in the direction of maximum change of Ψ projected onto the radius of curvature in the direction of $i\hat{\xi}$. Since p has the direction $i\hat{\xi}$ by construction, this is the change of Ψ on p , or $\partial_p \Psi$. Hence,

$$\tilde{\kappa} = \partial_p \Psi + \kappa \Psi$$

Vasco's answer demonstrates how to calculate $\partial_p \Psi$, so I present my understanding of it. In the discussion of the gradient field on p. 497, we find

$$d\Psi = \nabla \Psi \cdot d\mathbf{z}$$

The vector $d\mathbf{z}$ can here be rewritten $i\hat{\xi}dp$.

$$d\Psi = \nabla \Psi \cdot i\hat{\xi}dp$$

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dp} = \nabla \Psi \cdot i\hat{\xi} \quad \text{dividing through by } p$$

$$\text{Then, } \frac{d\Psi}{dp} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial p} = \partial_p \Psi = \nabla \Psi \cdot i\hat{\xi}$$

We can write $\frac{d\Psi}{dp} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial p}$ because the ratio of actual changes obeys the rule specified by the ratio of

infinitesimals $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial p}$.

*We are accustomed to thinking of “p” as a point on a curve. Here it is evidently a distance **from** a point (“p” by our previous notation) on a curve. The distance is measured along the radius of curvature. This is a bit confusing. We might instead write the equivalent $\partial_r \Psi$, where r is the radius of curvature, or $\partial_\rho \Psi$ to distinguish the radius of curvature from |z|.