

Needham, VDGAF, Chapter 20, Exercise 15, p. 222

Palmer, November 4, 2023, 10 am PT

15. Cartesian Formulas for the Shape Operator, Curvature, and Mean Curvature. Let T_p be the tangent plane of a general surface S at a point p . Introduce Cartesian coordinates (x, y) into T_p , and take $p = (0, 0)$, so that the normal $\mathbf{n}$ there points along the z -axis. Then, locally, S is given by

$$z = f(x, y) \quad \text{where } f(0, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and } \partial_x f = 0 = \partial_y f \quad \text{at } (0, 0).$$

(i) Note that if we define $F(x, y, z) = f(x, y) - z$, then F is constant on S . Deduce that ∇F is normal to S , and therefore

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial_x f)^2 + (\partial_y f)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x f \\ \partial_y f \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Something doesn't add up. Since $z = f(x, y)$, it should always be the case that

$F(x, y, z) = f(x, y) - z = 0$, so F is a constant 0 on S . Still, it is hard to see why ∇F would be orthogonal.

Vasco wrote:

If ∇F is not orthogonal to the surface S then it has a component in some direction on S which would mean that F was not constant on the surface because the component of ∇F represents a change in F with respect to movement on the surface. (P. C. VDGAF Forum)

Since $F(x, y, z) = f(x, y) - z$, one can write

$$\nabla F = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x F \\ \partial_y F \\ \partial_z F \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x f \\ \partial_y f \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, since we know that ∇F is normal to S and $\mathbf{n}$ is the **unit** normal,

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{\nabla F}{|\nabla F|} = \left(1 / \left(\sqrt{1 + (\partial_x f)^2 + (\partial_y f)^2} \right) \right) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x f \\ \partial_y f \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(ii) Deduce that the matrix of the Shape Operator at $(0, 0)$ is

$$[S] = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^2 f & \partial_x \partial_y f \\ \partial_x \partial_y f & \partial_y^2 f \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20.2)$$

from which it follows immediately that

$$\mathcal{K} = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 = \det[S] = (\partial_x^2 f)(\partial_y^2 f) - (\partial_x \partial_y f)^2 \quad (20.3)$$

In this exercise, the Shape Operator lies in a plane parallel to the xy -plane, so it must be equivalent to the sum of the partial derivatives of ∇F in the xy -plane at the origin. Let $\mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{e}_2$ be unit vectors in the x and y directions respectively, as in (15.6). (Or if we prefer, we can calculate from part (i) that the normal goes to 1 and multiply it through.)

$$S(\mathbf{e}_1) \equiv \partial_x \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x f \\ \partial_y f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^2 f \\ \partial_x \partial_y f \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S(\mathbf{e}_2) \equiv \partial_y \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x f \\ \partial_y f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_y \partial_x f \\ \partial_y^2 f \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[S] = [S(\mathbf{e}_1), S(\mathbf{e}_2)] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^2 f & \partial_y \partial_x f \\ \partial_x \partial_y f & \partial_y^2 f \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the answer in (20.2). Then (20.3) is just the determinant (expansion factor) of $[S]$. The derivation of $\bar{\kappa}$ is given in the exercise. This answer to part (ii) was influenced by a very similar exercise in Barrett O'Neill, *Elementary Differential Geometry* (2nd Ed), 1997, Elsevier, p. 200. Vasco eliminates the problem with the negative sign by defining $F = z - f(x, y)$. By using (15.6) we can avoid a commitment regarding sign.